

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/002,702	HOFER ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Gary C. Vieaux	2612	

**All Participants:** **Status of Application:** Condition for Allowance

(1) Gary C. Vieaux. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Leslie Gehman, #45,624. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 June 2005 **Time:** 4:00 p.m.

**Type of Interview:**  
 Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No  
If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*Claim 22*

Claims discussed:  
*Claim 22*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 22 was rejected in the action of 11/17/04 and this rejection was being upheld by the Examiner upon Response; all other claims pending after amendment were in condition for allowance (claims 7-10, 12-21, and 23-34). Claim 22 was cancelled in order to place the application in immediate condition for allowance.